



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HR

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/581,101	08/21/2000	Detlef Rode	10191/1388	2331
26646	7590	10/13/2004	EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			HOANG, THAI D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2667	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/581,101

Applicant(s)

RODE ET AL.

Examiner

Thai D Hoang

Art Unit

2667

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amendment filed on 06/16/2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 16-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 16-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16-19 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Ikata et al., US patent No. 5,351,041.

Regarding claims 16, 19 and 21, Ikata discloses a method of data communication in communication network, such as an audiovisual system, on automobile. Ikata teaches that the system has a master unit M (second logical component) and a plurality of slave units S_n (first logical component) which are connected to a common communication bus, see fig. 1A. As shown in FIGS. 1A and 1C, the slave units S_n initially transmit connection confirmation request information D_{REQ} of their own to the master unit M. After having receiving the connection confirmation request information D_{REQ} , the master unit M issues information RDT, acknowledging the reception of the connection confirmation request information D_{REQ} , to the slave units S_n in step S10. Ikata also teaches that such a confirmation may be carried out by storing, in a memory addresses of the slave units which were connected when the communication network stopped operating in the preceding cycle and comparing the stored addresses with the addresses of those slave units from which a self report has actually been transmitted to the master unit M. After elapse of a predetermined period of

Art Unit: 2667

time, the slave units S_n transmit connection confirmation request information again to the master unit M to discriminate whether there is a reception acknowledgement or not in step S10, then the slave units S_n effect internal process in step S14 and the process go back to a normal operation; fig. 1A-B, 3-7, col. 4, line 66-col. 5, line 17.

Regarding claim 17, Ikata discloses that the master unit M comprises a RAM to store information for communication with the slave units S_n ; col. 4, line 43, col. 10, line 57-58 .

Regarding claim 18, as best understood, Ikata discloses that the after the slave units confirmed to connect to the network, the system go back to the normal operation; step S5 fig. 1B. It indicates that there is a mutual communication message between the master unit and slave units.

Regarding claim 22, Ikata discloses that the system performs its functions when a failure of the power is occurred between the master unit and the slave units or every time car accessory power supply switch (ACC) is switched; col. 9, line 5 – col. 12, line 15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikata et al., US patent No. 5,351,041, in view of Mutoh, US patent No. 5,251,211.

Regarding claim 20, Ikata discloses that when the system is turned on, it performs the step of detecting new component connected to the system and configuring previous status of the other component; col. 9, line 5 – col. 12, line 15. Ikata does not explicitly disclose that the system performs the step of resetting. However, Mutoh discloses a multiplex transmission system for automotive vehicles. Mutoh teaches that a node (slave) in the system is reset by a reset command; col. 14, lines 15-22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the method of resetting disclosed by Mutoh into the Ikata's system in order to quickly connect the node to the system.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/16/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, page 4, Applicants argue that the reference does not teach the step of "coordinating the at least one second logical component on the application plane by the at least one first logical component as a function of a result of the comparison." Examiner respectfully disagrees. According to the specification of the application, p. 14, line 5 - p. 15, line 5, p. 15, line 34 – p. 19, line 4, the step of coordinating performs the applications in the network operate as same as a status of a previous state when the system is started; wherein the information of the previous state is stored in the master unit. Therefore, it was disclosed in the Ikata system in figs. 15A-B, 16A-B, col. 2, lines 57-64, col. 9, line 5 –col. 10, line 58.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai D Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10:00am-18:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thai Hoang


CHI PHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 10/02/09